

SRI D. K. NAIKAR (Minister for Municipal Administration and Political Pension).—

(a) Yes. 38 shops out of 52 shops in the Rice Market Building (Vyapari Market) were demolished in the year 1974 under orders of the Administrator, City Municipal Council, Belgaum.

(b) Administrative sanction was accorded by the Government and not by the Administrator, City Municipal Council, Belgaum.

(c) No.

(d) Does not arise.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—There were 52 shops in Rice Market Belgaum. Why 38 shops have been demolished and why there is partiality shown to certain community people?

† **SRI D. K. NAIKAR**—It is not partiality to certain community. The matter was taken to Civil Court in respect 14 shops and they obtained stay orders. Therefore, those shops were not demolished.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—When this administrative sanction was accorded by the Government and what was the estimated cost of the building?

SRI D. K. NAIKAR.—Original estimate was for 12 lakhs 25,000 rupees. Now because of the stay order of the Civil Court the matter has been protracted and the revised estimate will have to be made.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—When this sanction was accorded by the Government?

SRI D. K. NAIKAR.—The administrative sanction was accorded on 4-12-1974.

SRI B. B. SAYANAK.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that there are still some temporary shops in the Rice Market area and the Municipal Officers are collecting rents from them?

SRI D. K. NAIKAR.—This is not a fact. Such information has not come to the Government.

Amendment of Byelaws of Co-operative Societies in Chikodi Taluk

138. **SRI J. S. AMMANAVAR** (Sadalga).—Will the Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:—

(a) the names of the Co-operative Societies who have sought for amendments to their byelaws during the year 1975-76 in Chikkodi taluk, Belgaum district.

(b) the dates on which such proposals were received in each case and the date on which it was sanctioned.

(c) the names of the Societies in respect of which the proposals are still pending,

(d) the reasons for delay in disposing of these proposal ?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH (Minister for Co-operation).—

(a) Details placed on the Table of the House. *

(b) Details placed on the Table of the House. *

(c) Nil.

(d) Does not arise.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ ಎಸ್. ಅಮ್ಮಣ್ಣನವರ್ (ಸಾದರಗ).—ತಮ್ಮ ಸಚ್ಚಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟೋ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗಳ ಪ್ರೊಪೊಸಲ್ಸ್ ರಿಟನ್ ಆದ ಡೇಟ್ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ ನಾನು ಒಂದೇ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಕಾನೂನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜನರಲ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಮೀಟಿಂಗ್ ಆದ 30 ದಿವಸದೊಳಗೆ ಪ್ರೊಪೊಸಲ್ಸ್ ಅಥವಾ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅಮೆಂಡ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವುದಿದ್ದರೆ ಆ ತರದ ಪ್ರೊಪೊಸಲ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್‌ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕು. ಈ ಕಾಲಮಿತಿಯನ್ನು 60 ದಿವಸ ಅಥವಾ 90 ದಿವಸಗಳು ಎಂದು ಕಡ್ಡಾಯ ಮಾಡಲಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

† ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಚ್. ರಂಗನಾಥ್.—ಇದು ಉತ್ತಮವಾದ ಸಲಹೆ ಇದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

Admission in Industrial Training Institutes

171. SRI ABDUL KHUDDUS ANWAR (Bhadrawati).—Will the Minister for Labour be placed to state :—

(a) the number of Industrial Training Institutes in the State and the number of students admitted in each Institute.

(b) the mode of selection of the student for admission in these institutes.

(c) whether it is a fact that the minimum qualification prescribed for admission in these Institutions is a pass in 7th Standard examination.

(d) the number of students admitted in each Institute during 1973-74, 1974-75, 1975-76 and of them the percentage students of 7th Standard.

(e) whether it is a fact that the test for admission in these Institutes were conducted in English medium even though the official language is Kannada and that it was promised that the examination papers will be set to suit the 7th Standard students.

* Copy placed in the Karnataka Legislature Library vide No. 7 dated 13th November 1976.